

#### A Consonant Bias in German preschoolers' Word Recognition

Marie Louise Langer, Camillia Bouchon, Nicole Altvater-Mackensen

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Marie Louise Langer, Camillia Bouchon, Nicole Altvater-Mackensen. A Consonant Bias in German preschoolers' Word Recognition. DGPs/ÖGP Congress 2024, Sep 2024, Vienne, Austria. hal-04780719

#### HAL Id: hal-04780719 https://univ-paris8.hal.science/hal-04780719v1

Submitted on 13 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# **A Consonant Bias in German** preschoolers' Word Recognition

Marie Louise Langer<sup>1</sup>, Camillia Bouchon<sup>2</sup>, Nicole Altvater-Mackensen<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Maastricht, the Netherlands, <sup>2</sup>Université Paris Est Créteil, France, <sup>3</sup>University of Mannheim, Germany

# **1. RATIONALE**

#### **CONSONANT-VOWEL ASYMMETRY**

- **Division of Labor Hypothesis:** vowels are considered relatively more important for processing grammatical and prosodic information, whereas consonants are considered more important for lexical access (Nespor et al., 2003)
- Learned Bias? Evidence to date suggests that the bias emerges due to a child's acquisition of acoustic-phonetic

### 3. RESULTS

- TIMECOURSE ANALYSIS
- The timecourse graphs display the mean target looking for CP and MP trials for vowels and consonants, respectively
- The naming associated increase in target looking is only present for Mp<sub>vowel</sub>, not for MP<sub>cons</sub>
- Errorbars indicate ±1 SE

Mean Target Looking for Vowels by Condition



ml.langer@student.maastrichtuniversity.nl

#### **CONSONANT ADVANTAGE?**

A rmANOVA with condition (CP, MP) and type (vowel, cons) as within-subject factors showed a main effect of condition (F(1, 29) = 4.32, p < .05,  $\eta^2$  = 0.038) of small effect size, no main effect of type (p = .61) and an interactive effect of a small effect size between condition and type (F(1, 29) = 4.37, p < .05,  $\eta^2$  = .034). Errorbars indicate ±1 SE.

(Floccia et al., 2014) or lexical (Keidel et al., 2007) properties of its native language

# 2. METHOD

#### **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- We test whether recognition of familiar words in German-learning 3- to 5-year-old children is affected more by consonant or by vowel mispronunciations
- According to the lexical and acoustic-phonetic hypotheses, we anticipate vocabulary size and/or phonological awareness to be associated to the C/Vasymmetry

#### SAMPLE

- N= 35 (19F and 16M) German-speaking children
- age range: 37-70 months; mean age: 56,05 months

### PREFERENTIAL LOOKING TASK

- Each child was presented with 24 yoked targetdistractor pairs  $\rightarrow$  monosyllabic CV(C)C-words
- auditory presentation of a carrier sentence including the correct pronunciation (CP), a consonant mispronunciation (MP<sub>cons</sub>) or a vowel mispronunciation (MP<sub>vowel</sub>) of the target word





### DATA CLEANSING

Only subjects (subject-wise analysis) and targets





### **MISPRONUNCIATION EFFECTS**

One sample t-tests revealed that the mispronunciation effect only occurs for trials of the consonant type (t(29) =2.73, p < .02), whereas word recognition in vowel trials seems to be unaffected by mispronunciations (p > .90). Errorbars indicate ±1 SE.

#### Mispronunciation Effect by Type



#### Mean Target Looking for Consonants by Condition

• MPs involved 2- to 3- feature changes of either wordinitial consonants or word-medial vowels

'Tür' (door)  $\rightarrow$  `Wür` | 'Bus' (bus)  $\rightarrow$  'Bes'



(target-wise analysis) that showed a positive naming effect were retained in the final sample  $\rightarrow$  exclusion of 5 subjects and the targets «Baer» (bear) and «Ball» (ball)

#### NAMING EFFECT

The mean increase in proportional target looking from pre-naming window to the post-naming window in trials of correctly pronounced words is 17.8% for consonants and 10.5% for vowels.

**PROPORTIONAL TARGET LOOKING (PTL)** 

 $PTL = \frac{Target}{(Target+Distractor)}$ 

Increase in PTL = PTL<sub>post-naming</sub> – PTL<sub>pre-naming</sub>

#### ASSOCIATIONS

The consonant-bias is neither significantly correlated to phonological awareness (p > .33) nor vocabulary size (p >.55).

# **4.SUMMARY**

- For the first time in German, a C/V asymmetry in familiar word processing is found at 3-5 years of age.
- Our findings parallel evidence of a lexicon-consonant association found in infants as young as one year old in Spanish (Bouchon et al., 2022), Italian (Hochmann et al., 2017) and French (Nishibayashi & Nazzi, 2016)
- Neither vocabulary size nor phonological awareness



Based on Swingley & Aslin (2000) we assume that

word recognition is indexed by increased target

looking after labelling  $\rightarrow$  'naming effect'



were associated with children's consonant bias leaving

it open in how far lexicon size and/or phonological

sensitivity contribute to the bias

### **5. REFERENCES**

Bouchon, C., Hochmann, J.-R. & Toro, J. M. (2022). Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 221, 105444; Floccia, C., Nazzi, T., Delle Luche, C., Poltrock, S. & Goslin, J. (2014). Journal of Child Language, 41(5), 1085-1114; Hochmann, J.-R., Benavides-Varela, S., Fló, A., Nespor, M. & Mehler, J. (2017). Infancy, 23(1), 136-151; Keidel, J. L., Jenison, R. L., Kluender, K. R. & Seidenberg, M. S. (2007). Psychological Science, 18(10), 922–923 ; Mayer, A. (2020). Test zur Erfassung der phonologischen Bewusstheit und der Benennungsgeschwindigkeit (TEPHOBE): Manual (4., überarbeitete Auflage). Reinhardt; Nespor, M., Peña, M. & Mehler, J. (2003). Lingue e Linguaggio, 2(2), 203–229 ; Nishibayashi, L.-L. & Nazzi, T. (2016). Cognition, 155, 188–203; Swingley, D. & Aslin, R. N. (2000). Cognition, 76(2), 147–166.